- 1 Q Did Comcast pass any of that
- 2 charge for that 10 to 12-month period through
- 3 to consumers during that time period? That
- 4 you can point me to?
- 5 A When Comcast made a decision that
- 6 it did not increase its fees, because cable
- 7 companies don't change their rates every
- 8 single month. Just like as I used in the
- 9 deposition the example of a steak restaurant
- 10 doesn't change the price of steak every day
- 11 based on the price of beef. And this is very
- 12 well known in economics literature about
- 13 prices being sticky, and changes only
- 14 occurring every so often. So if Comcast knew
- 15 at the time that it was making its decision to
- 16 take the games that there was a high
- 17 probability that they may tier, it's not
- 18 surprising as an economist that they'd then
- 19 immediately change prices.
- 20 Q Will you answer my question now,
- 21 that Comcast did not change its price?
- 22 A I think I did say no.

- 1 Q For the 10 to 12 months that it
- 2 carried the eight game package with the
- 3 surcharge?
- 4 A Well, they may have changed prices
- 5 in January of that year. I think the question
- 6 you asked me at my deposition was, when they
- 7 actually decided to accept the surcharge, did
- 8 they increase prices immediately. And I
- 9 answered not at that time.
- 10 Q Is there any price increase that
- 11 Comcast imposed that you have analyzed that is
- 12 attributable to its 10 to 12-month carriage of
- 13 the eight-game package?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Have you modeled this is another
- 16 question I asked you at your deposition have
- 17 you modeled how Comcast prices would change if
- 18 they had to carry the eight-game package?
- 19 A Well, economic theory tells us -
- 20 Q My question is about your model -
- MR. CARROLL: I object to the
- 22 interruption of the witness. We didn't

- 1 interrupt Dr. Singer.
- 2 MR. SCHMIDT: I object to
- 3 objections -
- 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: We're off on two
- 5 different tracks. Go ahead. Do you
- 6 understand the question?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 8 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 9 Q My question is, have you
- 10 personally modeled how much Comcast would
- 11 increase its prices to subscribers if forced
- 12 to carry the eight-game package on a higher
- 13 tier?
- 14 A I have not provided a point
- 15 estimate of that amount.
- 16 Q And have you seen such modeling in
- 17 any Comcast document that you have looked at?
- 18 A Of what they would do if they had
- 19 to put it on expanded basic as under the
- 20 remedy?
- 21 Q Yes.
- 22 A The answer is no.

- 1 Q Thank you. And you're the Comcast
- 2 economist in this case, right?
- 3 A Yes, I am.
- 4 Q There is no one else coming in to
- 5 testify about economics for Comcast, is there?
- 6 A No, there is not.
- 7 Q Are you aware that Comcast
- 8 predicted that their tiering of the NFL
- 9 Network would harm the NFL Network?
- 10 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 11 Q Are you aware that they predicted
- 12 it would set off a chain reaction in the
- 13 industry?
- 14 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 15 Q That is not something you knew?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Are you aware that Comcast thought
- 18 the threat of that chain reaction would
- 19 intimidate the NFL into giving the eight-game
- 20 package to Versus instead of to the NFL
- 21 Network?
- 22 A I think as we heard there were

- 1 tough negotiators on both sides of this. So,
- 2 and they were negotiating in a tough way. But
- 3 that is not something I've examined.
- 4 Q Do you know who Jeff Shell is?
- 5 A I believe he is an executive at
- 6 Comcast.
- 7 Q He runs the programming division,
- 8 right?
- 9 A I believe that is correct.
- 10 MR. SCHMIDT: May I approach,
- 11 Your Honor?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: You may.
- MR. SCHMIDT: I'm approaching
- 14 with what has previously been marked as NFL
- 15 Enterprises Exhibit No. 101.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is already in
- 17 evidence as your 101, is that right?
- 18 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, sir.
- 19 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 20 Q Do you see Mr. Shell's name there?
- 21 A Yes, I do.
- 22 Q Have you seen this email before?

- 1 A No, I have not.
- 2 Q I'm just going to read you. Let
- 3 me know if I've read it wrong. I'm going to
- 4 start with the second sentence which says -
- 5 this is Mr. Shell writing as head of Comcast
- 6 programming, right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q He says, in the second sentence,
- 9 we have the right in our NFL Network
- 10 affiliation agreement to tier it if we don't
- 11 get this package. It would lose nearly
 - subs and would set up a chain reaction
- 13 in the industry. Did I read that right?
- 14 A You read it correctly.
- 15 Q I don't think they knew that, and
- 16 this may have tipped things in our favor. Did
- 17 I read that right?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 Q This isn't something Comcast gave
- 20 you to take into account when you gave your
- 21 opinions in this case?
- 22 A I'm not sure what he was

- 1 mentioning. I have no idea sitting here today
- 2 I wasn't party to this, I have not seen what
- 3 Mr. Shell means by this.
- 4 Q Mr. Orszag, do you remember my
- 5 question?
- 6 A The answer is, it's something I
- 7 had access to the entire record. I -
- 8 Q Were you given this?
- 9 MR. TOSCANO: Please let him
- 10 finish his answer.
- MR. SCHMIDT: I'm trying to get
- 12 him to answer my question.
- 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait, wait. Wait
- 14 just a second. What's the question?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Have you seen this
- 16 before?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I
- 18 have. I've seen a huge volume of documents,
- 19 so sitting here today I can't tell you if I
- 20 have.
- 21 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 22 Q Now, Mr. Orszag, you have on prior

- 1 occasions criticized Comcast, haven't you?
- 2 A That is true.
- 3 Q In fact you testified before the
- 4 FCC on behalf of satellite companies about
- 5 Comcast's use of its market power in
- 6 Philadelphia, didn't you?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q And you testified that the way
- 9 Comcast used its market power in Philadelphia
- 10 led to higher price in Philadelphia, didn't
- 11 you?
- 12 A Yes, but we didn't identify why.
- 13 Q That's fine, but you did testify
- 14 to that, right, yes or no?
- 15 A I can't accept what you've
- 16 actually said for the following reason. What
- 17 we analyzed was that there was an unobserved
- 18 factor going on in Philadelphia that we could
- 19 not explain, so Comcast prices in Philadelphia
- 20 were higher than in other areas, and we could
- 21 not explain why.
- 22 So the answer is, we did observe a

- 1 higher price in Philadelphia, but the reason
- 2 for it we could not explain. It could be
- 3 because they actually offer a higher quality
- 4 service there.
- 5 Q Do you have any reason to think
- 6 there is higher quality service there?
- 7 A We were very explicit in our -
- 8 actually I'm assuming you're reading the
- 9 actual cover letter from counsel. But you
- 10 were very explicit at that time that we did
- 11 not could not identify why that was the
- 12 case. We could not identify whether it was
- 13 through the anti-competitive reasons or higher
- 14 quality reasons.
- MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, may I
- 16 approach with another exhibit?
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you may.
- 18 What are we doing oh, this one is already
- 19 in. 0
- 20 MR. SCHMIDT: This is NFL
- 21 Enterprises Exhibit No. 77, also already in
- 22 evidence.

- 1 (Whereupon the aforementioned
- 2 document was marked for
- 3 identification as Enterprises
- 4 Exhibit No. 77.)
- 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: NFL 77. It looks
- 6 to me like it's a report on a study you did,
- 7 Mr. Orszag, or an article that you wrote?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Economic
- 10 assessment of the exclusive contract
- 11 prohibition. You can clearly integrate cable
- 12 operators and programmers. You may proceed
- 13 now, Mr. Schmidt.
- 14 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 15 Q I think the judge has already
- 16 established the foundation for you, but this
- 17 is your article?
- 18 A Yes, it is.
- 19 Q Or rather it's a submission you
- 20 made to the FCC.
- 21 A It is.
- 22 Q And you stand behind it, right?

- 1 A Based on the information available
- 2 to me at that time, yes.
- 3 Q Sure. That time being, what,
- 4 January 2002?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Let me just see if I can get into
- 7 the record some of the things you said in
- 8 here.
- 9 Let's look at page 21. And I'm
- 10 just going to read the first sentence of the
- 11 first full paragraph on this page. Tell me if
- 12 I've read it correctly.
- One telling example of the
- 14 potential dangers associated with allowing
- 15 programming exclusivity in the context of
- 16 vertically integrated cable systems Comcast
- 17 is a vertically integrated cable system,
- 18 right?
- 19 A Yes, it is.
- 20 Q Is the experience of Comcast's
- 21 SportsNet, a channel devoted to Philadelphia
- 22 sports programming, right?

- 1 A Yes, it is. You read it
- 2 correctly.
- 3 Q This is you talking about Comcast
- 4 sports programming in Philadelphia, right?
- 5 A And two co-authors.
- 6 Q Fair enough; don't mean to slight
- 7 your co-authors.
- 8 A Yes, they would like to be
- 9 included.
- 10 Q Okay. Let's look at page 22, the
- 11 first sentence of the second full paragraph.
- 12 Comcast's arrangement with SportsNet
- 13 illustrates how cable firms can use
- 14 exclusivity to gain market share which helps
- 15 to lock in subscribers and potentially harm
- 16 competition in the future.
- 17 Did I read that right?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 Q Flip ahead with me if you would to
- 20 page 27. Now I'm looking at the bottom of the
- 21 page.
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q There is a paragraph that starts
- 2 at the bottom of the page and carries over.
- 3 While the evidence appears to suggest that DBS
- 4 firms contributed to increase programming
- 5 diversity, a body of empirical literature
- 6 suggests that vertically integrated cable
- 7 systems like Comcast, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Have favored their own programming
- 10 and excluded similar non-integrated
- 11 programming. Have I read that correctly?
- 12 A Yes, you did.
- 13 Q As the FCC noted cable providers
- 14 with large programming interests may unfairly
- 15 favor affiliated programming over unaffiliated
- 16 programming.
- 17 A You forgot the quotation marks,
- 18 but -
- 19 Q I'm sorry, I didn't mean to leave
- 20 out the quotation marks.
- 21 A Yes, those were not my words;
- 22 those were the FCC's words.

- 1 Q Fair enough, and I think you note
- 2 that in the sentence.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q One recent empirical study of
- 5 cable system program choices showed that
- 6 vertically integrated cable systems exclude
- 7 rival services. Is that what you wrote,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you finish by saying, the
- 11 author noted that, quote, TCI bracket now AT&T
- 12 broadband bracket and Comcast, comma, two
- 13 operators who on the basic shopping service,
- 14 QVC, are less likely to carry rival shopping
- 15 service, Home Shopping Network, HSN, correct?
- 16 A Yes, I did.
- 17 Q Were you being paid by Comcast at
- 18 the time you wrote this?
- 19 A No, I was not.
- I should note you left out some
- 21 very key parts of this testimony in terms of
- 22 how the market has changed. And if I may

- 1 provide some context -
- Q Mr. Orszag, we are really trying
- 3 to fly through things. I'd like to ask my
- 4 questions. Mr. Toscano will have the
- 5 opportunity to use his time the way he sees
- 6 fit.
- 7 A Sure.
- 8 O Now what I'd like to do is make
- 9 sure I understand what your testimony does and
- 10 doesn't cover. And I heard you talk about the
- 11 NFL Network, your views on the price of the
- 12 NFL Network. But I want to make sure what you
- 13 did with respect to Versus and the Golf
- 14 Channel, or what you didn't do with respect to
- 15 Versus and the Golf Channel.
- When I asked you in your
- 17 deposition you told me I did not analyze how
- 18 Comcast carried Golf and Versus as opposed to
- 19 how other MVPDs carried those channels. Do
- 20 you remember saying that?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay, and you said you didn't do

- 1 any analysis of the penetration rate of Golf
- 2 and Versus on Comcast versus Golf and Versus
- 3 on other channels; is that right?
- 4 A That is not precisely what I said
- 5 at the time. What I said was that if you
- 6 look at Table A I presented evidence that
- 7 showed the penetration rates for Golf and
- 8 Versus, but I did not compare the Golf and
- 9 Versus penetration rate to the penetration
- 10 rates that the other MVPDs carry. Rather what
- 11 I did was, I looked at the penetration rate of
- 12 Golf and Versus relative to the penetration
- 13 rate of the NFL Network on each.
- 14 Q That is not the answer you gave in
- 15 your deposition.
- 16 A I believe it was because -
- 17 Q Well I gave -
- 18 MR. TOSCANO: Please let him
- 19 finish. Your Honor I'd object to these
- 20 repeated interruptions by Mr. Schmidt.
- 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you are going
- 22 to have to slow down a little bit, Mr.

1 Schmidt. MR. SCHMIDT: Sure, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: I know you are 3 4 trying to get through some material, but let's 5 slow down just a bit. MR. SCHMIDT: Sure. BY MR. SCHMIDT: If I can read you from page 48 of 9 your deposition, and I can go ahead, and pass 10 it up to you. (Document handed to witness.) 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: You can read it 12 13 and see if he needs, if he wants the 14 transcript. Maybe you can do it without. BY MR. SCHMIDT: 15 I asked you - let's see -16 17 MR. TOSCANO: And can you let us 18 know what page you are on? MR. SCHMIDT: I asked you on page 19

20 49, line 11, are you relying - I'm going to

22 object - are you relying on any analysis in

21 take out Mr. Toscano's objection - he did

- 1 the penetration rate of Versus and Golf with
- 2 Comcast versus other MVPDs in giving your
- 3 opinions? And your answer was, no I am not.
- 4 THE WITNESS: And that's what I
- 5 just said now.
- 6 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay.
- 7 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 8 Q You told me you hadn't looked at
- 9 penetration rates for how Golf and Versus were
- 10 carried on Comcast as opposed to other
- 11 networks changed over time. Right?
- 12 A I believe that is correct.
- 13 Q And you didn't conduct an analysis
- 14 of the price that Comcast pays to Versus and
- 15 Golf versus the price that other carriers pay
- 16 to Versus and Golf, correct?
- 17 A I'm not sure that's precisely what
- 18 I said in my deposition. I think I thought
- 19 I gave some testimony that we did look at -
- 20 I did look at the price that various providers
- 21 were paying for Versus and Golf relative to
- 22 the data that is presented in Kagan.

- But if there is something that you
- 2 would like me to look at -
- 3 Q Kagan is an average, right?
- 4 A Yes, it is.
- 5 Q My question was, did you compare
- 6 the specific price that Comcast pays for
- 7 Versus and Golf versus the specific prices
- 8 other networks pay?
- 9 A No, I did not.
- 10 Q And you haven't studied how many
- 11 subscribers that other carriers retain or lose
- 12 based on their carriage of Versus or Golf,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Virtually all of them carry it, so
- 15 it's not a study that could be done.
- 16 Q That's not how you answered in
- 17 your deposition, is it?
- 18 A I think I said no in my
- 19 deposition, but I just said no.
- 20 Q You haven't done a broader study
- 21 of how Comcast carries networks with which it
- 22 has an affiliation versus networks with which

- 1 it doesn't have an affiliation, have you?
- A No, because I don't believe that's
- 3 a relevant standard, from an economic
- 4 perspective.
- 5 Q Have you studied Comcast channel
- 6 items?
- 7 A I have looked at Comcast channel
- 8 items.
- 9 Q Do you have any reason to disagree
- 10 with the lineup that Dr. Singer showed, as an
- 11 accurate representation of Comcast's channel
- 12 lineup here in DC?
- 13 A As in Washington, D.C., I have no
- 14 reason to disagree with it.
- 15 Q Do you have any reason to disagree
- 16 with what Mr. Roberts said that it's
- 17 representative of Comcast national channel
- 18 items?
- 19 A I have no reason to disagree with
- 20 Mr. Roberts.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Would you maybe
- 22 this is the wrong witness to ask but would

- 1 somebody explain what Versus and Golf I got
- 2 who produces that material? I thought that
- 3 was a production of Comcast.
- 4 MR. SCHMIDT: That is a
- 5 production of Comcast.
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, then if
- 7 it's their own production, is there some kind
- 8 of a bookkeeping transfer of costs? Why are
- 9 you asking about you were asking about the
- 10 prices, right?
- MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: That Comcast would
- 13 pay for its own product?
- 14 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. Because the
- 15 essence of this claim is that Comcast treats
- 16 its product different than how it treats our
- 17 product.
- 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: But why would they
- 19 want to pay for their own product? I mean I
- 20 know there's a cost and everything.
- MR. SCHMIDT: They do pay for
- 22 their own product.

- 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: They are paying
- 2 themselves?
- 3 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, that's how it
- 4 works at Comcast, Your Honor.
- 5 MR. CARROLL: With all due
- 6 respect, we will have Comcast witnesses coming
- 7 on. Mr. Schmidt's views of how Comcast did it
- 8 is not something I readily agree with.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't want
- 10 to I'm sounding naive perhaps in asking the
- 11 question that way, but I have been trying to
- 12 follow this in my mind about where these
- 13 different units are located. And I had had
- 14 that impression right along. So I'll just sit
- 15 tight and wait.
- MR. CARROLL: But Your Honor we
- 17 have a witness coming up, hopefully this
- 18 afternoon, Mr. Burke, who is the president of
- 19 Comcast who can answer these questions.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: I will patiently
- 21 wait.
- MR. CARROLL: Okay.

- 1 BY MR. SCHMIDT:
- 2 Q Do you have an understanding of
- 3 the corporate structure of Comcast? And I
- 4 don't mean to put you on the spot.
- 5 A I'm not an expert, but generally
- 6 there is a programming division and a cable
- 7 division.
- 8 Q Okay, and sitting on top of them
- 9 is Comcast Corps, right?
- 10 A If you say so, I'm not going to
- 11 disagree.
- 12 Q On one side there is programming?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O That's where Versus is?
- 15 A And Golf.
- 16 Q And Golf, thank you. And on the
- 17 other side there is the cable branch?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And the basis, you understand, of
- 20 our claim here is that because of that
- 21 relationship, the cable branch is treating its
- 22 sister company more favorable than it's

- 1 treating the NFL Network. You understand that
- 2 is our allegation. I understand you disagree
- 3 with it, but you understand that is our
- 4 allegation, right?
- 5 A If you say so. It's your
- 6 allegation, so I'm not going to disagree with
- 7 it.
- 8 Q As I understand you are responding
- 9 to it, right?
- 10 A I understand that that is your
- 11 position.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 Have you studied Comcast's
- 14 carriage of the MLB network?
- 15 A I am aware that the MLB channel
- 16 just for some background is a channel that I
- 17 believe was just recently launched. And it's
- 18 a channel that is dedicated to major league
- 19 baseball. And my understanding is that
- 20 Comcast is launching it effectively on the
- 21 digital tier.
- 22 Q My question is, have you studied

- 1 it?
- 2 A To the extent that I have
- 3 considered where they have launched it, yes.
- 4 Q You state in your written
- 5 testimony that it takes time for networks to
- 6 gain a certain level of penetration, right?
- 7 A It often does.
- 8 O It takes time for them to reach a
- 9 certain number of subscribers; correct?
- 10 A If you look back in history it
- 11 often takes time for channels to build
- 12 subscribership; that is something that is part
- 13 of the history of this sector, something that
- 14 has been discussed quite extensively in
- 15 various FCC reports as well.
- 16 O It didn't take time for the MLB
- 17 channel to build subscribers, did it? It
- 18 happened right away, didn't it?
- 19 A I don't know what their precise
- 20 subscribership is across all carriers today.
- 21 Q Do you know that it's ?
- 22 A I'm not going to disagree with